

UNITED STARS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ART UNIT

1713

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED II	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/041,698	03/13/98	HUFFER		S	47852
Г		IM22/1026	\neg		EXAMINER
HERBERT B. KEIL		CALL F. F. STATES STATE C	·	LU,C	

HERBERT B. KEIL KEIL & WEINKAUF 1101 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20036

DATE MAILED: 10/26/00

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/041,698

C. Caixia Lu

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Huffer et al.

Group Art Unit

1713



	TO DESCRIPTION OF The selection of the s
TH	IE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] a) expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	and the state of the state of the state of the state of the Addison Assis which are
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap bu	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 16, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, t is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The proposed amendment(s):
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	for allowance because: of the same rational as set forth in the Final Office Action, Paper No. 6 and the Amendment filed on 10/16/00 does
	not overcome the rejections of the remaining claims.
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	the Examiner in the final rejection.
X	• • •
	Claims allowed:
	Claims objected to:
	Claims rejected: 3-12 and 14
	The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
	Other O (a)
	Land Wu
	Other DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER